

Wolf Penn Net Zero Demonstration Home Drew Benado Greg Davenport Krisann Parks





Science fiction or Main Street USA?









roof. Today, almost all single family houses, existing or being built, use way too much energy to be able to fit the solar panels they need to reach that goal.



Source: Bruce Sullivan

Net Zero Homes defined

A net zero home is a high efficiency home.

Net zero homes have on site renewable power generation most commonly PV (photovoltaic) solar panels.

Net zero homes are designed to produce as much energy as they consume on an annual basis.

Net zero homes utilize either net metering and/or battery storage systems.

The path to Zero Energy home building



We are on the tipping point of Net Zero Home building







Benchmarks

Estimated Number of ZNE Homes Per Year Compared to New Construction Starts



SOLAR LCOE

WIND LCOE

\$51

\$37

\$77

\$32

2015



IMAGE COURTESY © LAZARD, LCOE STUDY 9.0







Home Energy Score Policy



City Home Government Bureaus & Offices of the City of Portland

Planning and Sustainability Innovation. Collaboration. Practical Solutions.



City Council unanimously adopted the home energy score policy Portland City Code Chapter 17.108 on December 14, 2016.

The new policy is effective January 1, 2018, and requires sellers of single-family homes to incorporate the following practices prior to listing a home for sale in the City of Portland:

- Obtain a home energy performance report, including a home energy score, from a licensed home energy assessor.
- Provide a copy of the home energy performance report to all licensed real estate agents working on the seller's behalf.
- Include the home energy score and the attached home energy performance report in any real estate listings.
 Provide a copy of the home energy performance report to prospective buyers who visit the home while it is on the market.
- Provide a copy of the home energy performance report to the City of Portland for quality assurance and policy compliance.



Utility bills

The Second Mortgage that people rarely consider when buying a home

You can see our effort to keep rates low in the Northwest when you compare them to national averages:

Avista Idaho: \$94.95 Avista Washington: \$92.59

US Average: \$132.87

Here's a detailed breakdown:

Alaska	320	0.50
Hawaii	313.4	5
California	253.98	
Massachusetts	215.94	
Connecticut	213.25	
Rhode Island	182.66	
New Hampshire	171.79	
New Jersey	168.09	
Vermont	162.79	
Maine	157.93	
New York	152.35	
Michigan	147.22	
Delaware	136.00	
Maryland	135.43	
USA Average	132.87	
Pennsylvania	132.41	
Alabama	130.22	
Wisconsin	130.21	
Indiana	129.68	
South Carolina	123.37	
Ohio	120.57	
District of Columbia	118.31	
Wyoming	117.90	
Colorado	117.77	
Minnesota	117.60	
Florida	117.19	
West Virginia	116.71	
Kansas	115.68	
Arizona	114.20	
Utah	111.53	
Oregon	111.43 Source: Edison Electric Institute	
Nevada	111.25 Source. Euron Electric Institute	
Missouri	110.02 Investor-Owned Utilities	
New Mexico	108.99 Based on 1,000 kWh of use per month	
Virginia	108.78 as of January 1, 2017	
Texas	108.50	
South Dakota	108.49	

Avista requests natural gas rate increase in Idaho

Tue., Sept. 5, 2017, 10:02 a.m.



If regulators approve an Avaita request for higher natural gas rates, a typical lideho household using 51 thems each month would see its bill increase from \$31.10 to \$51.38, a 28-cent monthly increase. (The Spoksaman-Review)

From staff reports

Y Twitter	f Facebook	🖂 Email	O Reddit
-----------	------------	---------	----------

Avista is asking Idaho regulators to approve a slight increase in natural gas rates, effective Nov. 1.

If the request is approved, a typical Idaho household using 61 therms of natural gas each month would see its bill increase from \$51.10 to \$51.28, a 28-cent monthly increase.

The Spokane-based utility asks for yearly price adjustments based on wholesale power costs and other factors. A similar request is pending in Washington.

The requested increase is separate from the utility's request for higher base rates for electricity and natural gas, which was filed with the Idaho Public Utilities Commission in June.

Hypothetical Value Proposition

Code built home @ \$300,000 80% LTV - \$240,000 loan 30 year loan @ 3.75%	Same house with high performance upgrades @ \$312,000 80% LTV - \$249,600 Ioan 30 year Ioan @ 3.75%	Same house with high performance and solar upgrades @ \$332,000 80% LTV - \$265,600 loan 30 year loan @ 3.75%
Monthly payment = \$1,111	Monthly payment = \$1,156	Monthly payment = \$1,230
Monthly utility bills = \$82	Monthly utility bills = \$58	Monthly utility bills = \$-6
Total monthly payment = \$1,193	Total monthly payment = \$1,214	Total monthly payment = \$1,224

Search Greentech Media

🔾 GTM RESEARCH 🧖 GTM EVENTS



SOLAR

ETC.

Podcasts

Webinars

White Papers

GRID EDGE

Community Is Coming to California's Central Valley



As the state prepares for a new building code, this 36-unit development will shed light on how such homes benefit the grid and homeowners alike.

by Julian Spector August 29, 2017

That group's work with an earlier net-zero home project built by <u>Meritage in Fontana</u> had a felicitous outcome. The extra cost to achieve net zero was less than \$20,000, or about \$8 per square foot, Narayanamurthy said. When you break that out into additional monthly mortgage payments versus energy bill savings, the customers save more than they spend.

Wolfe Penn Net Zero Demonstration Home



ENRICHED LIVING. LASTING VALUE.

Building a DOE Zero Energy Ready Home By: Drew Benado

Who We Are

- Largest builder in the Inland NW
- Build single family detached and attached homes
- Sales in 2016: 290 homes
- Average price point in 2016: \$317,000



Who We Are



Building in the Inland NW

- Low Energy Rates
- Minimal consumer requests for energy efficient homes
- Local builders uneducated
- Real estate market uninformed on what energy efficient homes are



Project Goals

- Build a home with numerous components
- Survey the market understanding and demand
- Understand how we can educate the market
- Find a niche that we can sell to



Teamwork



+ Renewable photovoltaic solar energy







High performance shell









Energy efficient heating and cooling











Room by room heating and cooling load calculation Manual J

Heating load 21,479 BTU

Cooling load 13,875 BTU

Very low loads in bed 3

	Short For House	m		Job: Date: By:	Oak Harbor Crawl March 16th, 2017 Lou Bragg
	P	roject Inform	nation		
For: Oak	Harbor, Greens	stone Homes			
	D	esign Inform	ation		
Outside db (°F) Inside db (°F) Design TD (°F) Daily range Inside humidity (%) Moisture difference (gr/lb)	Htg 4 70 66 - 30 30	Clg 96 Method 75 Constru 21 Firepla M 30 11	l uction quality ces	Infiltration	Simplified Semi-tight 1 (Semi-tigh
HEATING EQ	JIPMENT		COOL	ING EQUIPME	ENT
Trade Model AHRI ref Efficiency Heating uput Heating uput Heating output Temperature rise Actual air flow Air flow factor Stato pressure Space thermostat	80 AFUE 0 Btu 0 9 tu 487 cfm 0.025 cfm 0 in H	hiak Trac Coil AHF h Effi h Late At At 20 Stat 20 Stat	ve de RI ref isible cooling ant cooling al aorling al aorling low factor tic pressure d sensible heat ratio	0 S 0	EER 0 Btuh 0 Btuh 0 Btuh 467 cfm 050 cfm/3tuh 0 in H2O 0.90
ROOM NAME	Area (ft ²)	Htg load (Btuh)	Clg load (Btuh)	Htg AVF (cfm)	Clg AVF (cfm)
Mst Bath Laundry Master Bed Bed 3 Bath Bed 2 Open Living Garage	62 44 179 153 51 133 518 599	1209 569 2102 1709 637 3249 8998 0	323 147 800 797 111 1086 6177 0	31 14 53 43 16 82 227 0	18 7 40 6 53 308 0
Calcula	tions approved the® Universal 2017 il House.rup Calc=N	by ACCA to meet all 17.0.19 RSU24177 UB Front Door laces: S	l requirements of M	anual J 8th Ed.	2017-Mar-18 15:50:21 Page 1
Entire House Other equip loads Equip. @ 1.01 RSM Latent cooling	1738	18472 3007	9422 2667 12234 1341	487	467
TOTALS	1738	i 21479	i 13575	i 467	i 467







Customer Service 🗸 Save Energy & Money 🗸 My Account Rates 🗸 **Residential New Gas Service** 75% **20%** 5% of projects cost: \$1,000 - \$6,000 of projects cost: \$6,000 - \$12,000 of projects cost: > \$12,000 \$6,000 \$12,000 \$1,000 High end range Low end range No Right of Way or Easement Required

Trenching Outsourced

Right of Way or Easement Required
 Trenching Completed by PG&E
 Difficult Conditions or Long Trench Required

Search



High performance Lifebreath Fresh Air system

Heat recovery whole home ventilation fan plus whole home filter for healthy indoor air quality









How a HRV fresh air ventilation system works





Whole home air filter for healthy indoor air quality







Rheem high efficiency heat pump hot water system 3.55+ COP







ENERGY STAR lighting and appliances







DHP24412W



ENERGY STAR

Main Features Compact dryer 50% less energy saving. Most Efficient 2107 Ventless heat pump dryer technology



WaterSense plumbing fixtures save water and energy

Low toxicity building materials improve indoor air quality and health



United States Environmental Protection

€EP

Jater Sens

Te Pro EPA Criter

Photovoltaic solar panels should produce enough energy to power home on an annual basis







This house is so energy efficient it only needs 19 solar panels to produce all the electricity to be net zero



Anderson Consulting provided energy modeling, program certification testing, and building science consulting for project

ESTIMATED ELECTRICITY COST FOR THIS HOME

This high performance home with Net Zero solar system	-\$78 YEAR (-\$6 MONTH)
This high performance home without solar system	\$702 YEAR (\$58 MONTH)
This home if built to local building codes	\$985 YEAR (\$82 MONTH)

Demonstration project will be monitored to test

Comfort transfer fan performance IAQ Energy use

Monitoring data will be shared by builder, Mitsubishi, Lifebreath, and home buyer









Suppo

Hi visibility project selected to maximize value

Net zero home will be used as a training venue for other training events targeted at high performance builders and HVAC contractors in the Spokane and North Idaho market

Spokane Fall Home Tour

Greenstone model home

Greenstone is learning how to build net zero and test the market

Mitsubishi and Lifebreath provided high performance home training to Greenstone Homes sales team

Greenstone, Mitsubishi, and Lifebreath are cooperating with marketing for project

All three project partners are watching the monitoring data to learn from this project

HVAC Academy Awards Mini splits are faster to install = lower labor costs













Sales team training day before home tour opens



Spokane HBA Fall Home Tour





Tracking incremental costs

Approximately \$20,000 for solar system

Used made in Washington solar panels

could have used other solar panels and reduced cost

Approximately \$12,000 to go from code built to Zero Energy Ready Costs would be lower if builder repeated

These costs do not include monitoring expenses

Tracking lessons learned

A few examples of things on the lessons learned list:

- Collaboration is a winning strategy on high performance homes
- Design support and training is essential for success
- Need a monitoring drawing
- Need a pre drywall site visit after all systems are roughed in
- HVAC contractors need more training on cold climate DHP installation, Kumo Cloud, value engineering space conditioning distribution in low load homes, and HRV design
- Transfer fans and supplemental heat can be tricky
- Be sure to place sensors in smart locations

Builder Suggestions

- Suppliers be more proactive
- Make rating software more accessible
- Find a way to add value
- Ask questions (a lot of them)
- Help builders sell and implement the idea of home efficiency

Potential Next Steps

- Construct a collection of homes
 - Mini-split system
 - HRV
 - Small array of solar panels
 - Market healthy, comfortable and energy efficient homes with HERS score
 - Increase in sales price: \$











610 x 293 - ipeq.







Greenstone



PROUDLY BUILDING ENERGY STAIl









ZERO ENERGY READY HOME

ZERO NET ENERGY Using a source energy-based definition

Presented by: Gary Heikkinen, PE October 5, 2017



Learning Objectives

- Learn about USDOE's new definition for Zero Net Energy as measured on a source energy basis.
- Learn the difference between site and source energy.
- Learn about and understand the reasons for using marginal energy resources rather than average.
- Review study by Gas Technology Institute comparing all-electric and mixedfuel homes.
- See how these ZNE homes compare on a source energy, first cost and energy cost basis.

ZNE Definition

USDOE definition finalized September, 2015:

- "An energy-efficient building where, on a source energy basis, the actual annual delivered energy is less than or equal to the on-site renewable exported energy."
 - Source Energy: Site energy plus the energy consumed in the extraction, processing and transport of primary fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas; energy losses in thermal combustion in power generation plants; and energy losses in transmission and distribution to the building site.



Source to Site Comparison



Source Energy & Emissions Factors

- The study used the NW Power Pool Non-Baseload (marginal) category replacing all non-baseload coal generation with natural gas, resulting in the following resource mix: 95.7% gas, 4% biogas, and 0.3% oil
- This resulted in a Source Energy Factor (SEF) of 2.75 for electricity.
- The SEF for the direct use of NG used is 1.09.



Example Calculation

- Electricity use:
 - 1000 kwh (site) x 2.75 = 2750 kwh (source)
- Natural Gas use:
 - 300 therms (site) x 1.09 = 327 therms (source)
- Renewable energy (PV) exported:
 - 1000 kwh (site) exported x 2.75 = 2750 kwh (source)

Marginal (Non-Baseload) Electricity Resource

Marginal generation may be a more appropriate increment.

- Renewable generation in the U.S. is <u>rarely</u> considered a marginal power plant because it will always be dispatched when available.
- Fossil fuel plants are considered marginal power plants under the economic dispatch model, with natural gas or coal plants nearly always being the marginal plants.



GTI Study



Objectives:

- Evaluate alternative new construction single-family measure packages from code to zero energy in Portland
- Present market-appropriate building packages for mixedfuel and all-electric designs. Compare:
 - First costs + Operating costs
 - Energy use
 - CO2e emissions
- Identify key energy and economic drivers, emphasizing societal and consumer benefits

GTI Study Details

A single-family home representing a typical new home in Portland was developed for use with all cases.

- 2-story home on crawlspace with vented attic
- 2,178 sq ft 3 bed 2.5 bath
- Home's exterior walls (e.g. front) feature same length, height, and glazing area to minimize orientation bias (modeled as north facing)



- Four basic designs were developed from Oregon Code Compliant to zero energy. (Code, Good, Better, Best)
- Features selected based on regional practices with a bias towards minimizing the introduction of rare practices.
- The Best Home design is paired with on-site solar PV to reach zero energy.

House Common Features

Portland, OR Home Designs (Common Features for Both Electric-Only and Mixed-Fuel Homes) 2-Story - Crawlspace - Vented Attic - 2,178 sq ft - 3 bed – 2.5 bath

Category	Code Home	Good Home	Better Home	Best Home
Foundation- Crawl, Underfloor	R 30	R 30	R 38	R 38
Attic- Ceiling	R 38	R 49	R 60	R 60
Wall (Construction)	2*6 24 ioc	2*4 16 ioc	2*4 16 ioc (OVE)	2*4 16 ioc (OVE)
Wall (Cavity; Sheathing)	R 21 batts	R 21 batts	R 21 batts; R5 XPS	R 36 foam; R5 XPS
Windows (U-Factor/SHGC)	0.35/0.44	0.30/0.40	0.21/0.40	0.18/0.40
Infiltration (Air Tightness)	6 ACH 50	4 ACH 50	2 ACH 50	1.5 ACH 50
Ventilation	Mechanical; Exhaust Only	Mechanical; Exhaust Only	Mechanical; Exhaust Only	Mechanical; Exhaust Only
HVAC System Location	Conditioned Space	Conditioned Space	Conditioned Space	Conditioned Space
Lighting (% High Efficacy)	50% CFL	90% CFL	100% LED	100% LED
Low Flow Fixtures	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Thermostat Set point	68/78	68/78	68/78	68/78
Refrigerator	573 kWh/yr	458 kWh/yr	427 kWh/yr	427 kWh/yr
Dishwasher	318 Rated kWh	290 Rated kWh	270 Rated kWh	270 Rated kWh
Clothes Washer	Standard	Standard	Energy Star	Energy Star
Plug Loads	Standard	Standard	Standard	25% Reduction

All-Electric & Mixed-Fuel Designs

Portland, OR Home (All Electric Designs)						
End Use	Code Home	Good Home	Better Home	Best Home		
HVAC (Air Source HP)	8.2 HSPF/14 SEER	8.7 HSPF/17 SEER	9.3 HSPF/18 SEER	10 HSPF/22 SEER		
Water Heat	0.95 EF 50 Gallon Storage Tank	0.95 EF 50 Gallon Storage Tank	2.35 EF Heat Pump 65 Gallon (Inside)	2.35 EF Heat Pump 65 Gallon (Ducted, Balanced)		
Clothes Dryer	3.1 EF (Standard)	3.1 EF (Standard)	3.93 EF (Energy Star)	4.2 EF (Heat Pump, Unvented)		
Cooking	Electric (Standard)	Electric (Standard)	Electric (Standard)	Electric (Induction)		
Portland, OR Home (Mixed Fuel Designs)						
	Portland,	OR Home (Mixed Fuel Designs)				
End Use	Portland, Code Home	OR Home (Mixed Fuel Designs) Good Home	Better Home	Best Home		
End Use HVAC (Furnace and Elec AC)	Portland, Code Home 80 AFUE; 13 SEER	OR Home (Mixed Fuel Designs) Good Home 90 AFUE; 15 SEER	Better Home 95 AFUE; 18 SEER	Best Home 98 AFUE; 21 SEER		
End Use HVAC (Furnace and Elec AC) Water Heat	Portland, Code Home 80 AFUE; 13 SEER 0.62 EF 40 Gallon Storage Tank	OR Home (Mixed Fuel Designs) Good Home 90 AFUE; 15 SEER 0.67 EF 40 Gallon Storage Tank	Better Home 95 AFUE; 18 SEER 0.82 EF Tankless	Best Home 98 AFUE; 21 SEER 0.96 EF Tankless		
End Use HVAC (Furnace and Elec AC) Water Heat Clothes Dryer	Portland, Code Home 80 AFUE; 13 SEER 0.62 EF 40 Gallon Storage Tank 2.75 EF (Standard)	OR Home (Mixed Fuel Designs) Good Home 90 AFUE; 15 SEER 0.67 EF 40 Gallon Storage Tank 2.75 EF (Standard)	Better Home 95 AFUE; 18 SEER 0.82 EF Tankless 3.48 EF (Energy Star)	Best Home 98 AFUE; 21 SEER 0.96 EF Tankless 3.48 EF (Energy Star)		

Source Energy Use Results



Simple Payback Analysis

12

Simple Payback: Incremental Cost/Energy Cost Savings (All-Electric Code Home Baseline)



- The All-Electric Code Home uses the most source energy at 112 MBTU/YR. As such, it's incremental cost serves as the baseline for the simple payback analysis.
- The chart shows how many years each home must operate to repay first costs with operational energy savings.
- The Mixed-Fuel Code Home uses 106 MBTU/YR (less than electric code home) and cost \$68 less to build.
- The Mixed-Fuel Best, or Zero Energy Ready Home, pays back in 5.4 years vs.
 10.2 for the All-Electric version.

Final ZNE Comparisons

- Generally, high performance home designers endeavor to reduce the home's annual energy use until the next available measure offers diminishing or uneconomic returns.
- In this case, each Best Home design represents this philosophy. To reach zero energy use on an annual basis, on-site solar photovoltaic (PV) is added to offset on-site energy use.
- For illustrative purposes, only the exact amount of PV was applied to each home to reach zero annual source energy use. The PV system is modeled as entirely south-facing, regardless of available roof area.
- The Mixed-Fuel Zero Energy Home costs less to build and operate than the comparable All-Electric Home



Summary



- On a source energy basis, the Mixed-Fuel ZNE-Ready home is comparable with an All-Electric ZNE-Ready home.
- 2. Incremental first cost and annual energy cost of a Mixed-Fuel ZNE-Ready home is slightly less than an All Electric ZNE-Ready home.
- 3. After adding PV to achieve ZNE, the incremental first cost is slightly less for the Mixed-Fuel home.
- 4. A Mixed-Fuel ZNE home is achieved more cost effectively and at lower paybacks than an All-Electric ZNE home.
- 5. Home builder has more equipment options to attain ZNE home.

THANK YOU

Gary Heikkinen, PE gwh@nwnatural.com 503.997.9334

